

Minutes of Departmental Forum Meeting, 3.12.2014

Participants: Allan Würtz, Lars Relund, Finn Schøler, Stefan Hirth, Bibiana Paluszewska, Kain Vinding, Morten Kræggøth, Sofie Theilade Nyland Brodersen, Malene Thygesen, Elaine Choguette, Christian Pilgaard, Anne Katrine Kristensen, Andreas (ABC)

Apologies received from: Valdemar Smith, Martin Duus, Rainer Lueg, Sune Lauth Gadegaard,

Listener: Lene Bavnbek Enevoldsen

Moderator: Lars Relund. Notetaker: Mette Vad Andersen

The agenda for the next departmental forum meeting is:

- 1. Approval of the agenda**
Approved
- 2. Approval of minutes from last meeting**
Approved
- 3. Consultation on the proposal by the Faculty Management Team on reorganization of BSS**

Purpose: to make a hearing statement on the proposal by the Faculty Management Team.

First comment on the proposal was to have the meeting as a closed meeting which was discussed in short. Valdemar Smith left the meeting. No voting took place.

Allan made a short presentation of the proposal.

The Departmental Forum (DF), LSU, the Studyboard can send their hearing statement to the Faculty Management Team by Friday 5 December.

Process: a discussion of the proposal where every member had the possibility to give their point of view. Lars Relund will then compose the Hearing Statement.

The Study Programme Panel was discussed and points were made that the new structure must result in more and better collaboration between departments ex. ECON & BADM.

The Study Programme Panels should focus on content and not economy. The DF strongly advice that the terms of reference of the Study programme Panels has to be very clear. Furthermore it was discussed how power could be allocated and in particular stressed that the implementation of the structure will be of great importance.

The proposal about creating sections within the department was discussed. The Departmental Forum was positive about the idea and is of the opinion that sections are good for educational planning and faculty recruitment.

The opinions were diverse concerning the proposal for department structure. Pros and cons were given. Since NCRR will not be directly affected by any of the three proposals they didn't recommend any.

The TAP group felt it was difficult to recommend any as well while the proposal hasn't included the TAP situation within the variants.

During the discussion of the sections a question was asked whether any of the proposals had already been decided. This was raised since the proposal about branding suggested in the proposal had already been posted in a commercial about Aarhus BSS in Jyllands Posten.

Allan emphasized that nothing has been decided.

As a concluding comment the APV from 2013 was mentioned. It might be a good idea to have the APV in mind when deciding the future structure.

4. Information from VIP/TAP/PhD Students/Bachelor and Master students

None

5. Information from Management

None

6. Miscellaneous

None